



# **PCT**

REC'D 2 AUG 1999

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION PREPORTED

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)



 \018PCT	gent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION		Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)  Priority date (day/month/year)
nternational ap	oplication No.	International filing date (day/month	//year/	18/04/1997
CT/US98/0	07587	C) or national classification and IPC		
Applicant BIOGEN, If This integrand is tr	NC. et al.  ernational preliminal ransmitted to the ap  EPORT consists of a sis report is also according to the consists of a sis report is a sis report in the consists of a sis report is a sis report in the consists of a sis report is a sis report in the consists of a sis report in	ry examination report has been prepare plicant according to Article 36. a total of 6 sheets, including this cover	sheet. the descript	ternational Preliminary Examining Authority ion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority the PCT).
These	annexes consist of	a total of sheets.		
These	annexes consist of	a total of sheets.  ations relating to the following items:		
These  3. This re	annexes consist of eport contains indica	a total of sheets.  ations relating to the following items:		
These  3. This re	annexes consist of eport contains indica	a total of sheets.  ations relating to the following items:		
These  3. This re	eport contains indica  Basis of the re Priority Non-establish	a total of sheets.  ations relating to the following items:  eport  ment of opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability
These  3. This re	eport contains indica  Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned stacitations and	a total of sheets.  Ations relating to the following items:  Peport  The ment of opinion with regard to novelty of invention  Satement under Article 35(2) with regard explanations suporting such statement	, inventive st	
These  3. This re	eport contains indica  Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned stacitations and Certain docu	a total of sheets.  ations relating to the following items:  eport  ment of opinion with regard to novelty of invention atement under Article 35(2) with regard explanations suporting such statement unents cited	, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability
These  3. This re	eport contains indica  Basis of the re Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned stacitations and Certain docu	a total of sheets.  Ations relating to the following items:  Peport  The ment of opinion with regard to novelty of invention  Satement under Article 35(2) with regard explanations suporting such statement	, inventive st I to novelty, i	ep and industrial applicability

	Lating of this report	
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report	2 7. 07. 99
18/11/1998		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized officer	Later GOES MIDILED
European Patent Office	Fotaki, M	THE THE PARTY OF T
Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	Telephone No. (+49-89) 2399 8709	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/07587

I.	<b>Basis</b>	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1.	resp	onse to	an invitation	awn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in In under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to not contain amendments.):
	Des	criptio	n, pages:	
	1-61	l		as originally filed
	Clai	ims, No	<b>.</b> .:	
	1-21	1		as originally filed
2.	The	ameno	lments have	resulted in the cancellation of:
	_		scription,	pages:
		the cla		Nos.:
			awings,	sheets:
3	. 🗆	This re	eport has be lered to go	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been eyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):
4	. Add	ditional	observation	s, if necessary:
11	l. Pri	ority		
1	. 🗆	This r	eport has be ribed time li	en established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to fumish within the nit the requested:
		□ c	opy of the e	arlier application whose priority has been claimed.
		□ t	ranslation of	the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.
	2. 🗆	been	found invali	
-	Thus 1	for the p	ourposes of	his report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/07587

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

see separate sheet

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Voc.

Claims 4, 14-21

No:

Claims 1-3, 5-13

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims none

No:

Claims 1-21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-13

No:

Claims 14-21 (reserved opinion)

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

## VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/US98/07587

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

#### II. PRIORITY

This first preliminary written opinion has been established considering the priority date 18.04.97 as a valid date. The attention of the Applicant is drawn to the fact 1) that document:

US 5693607 A published 02.12.97

not cited in the international search report, may become relevant after consideration of the priority document which is unavailable at present.

# V. REASONED STATEMENT UNDER ARTICLE 35(2)

- The present application relates to fusion proteins comprising part of the receptor for the transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) and part of an immunoglobulin (lgG). 2) The generated fusion protein comprises the extracellular domain of the type II receptor of TGF- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ RII) and the constant region (Fc) of IgG. Said fusion proteins are intended to inhibit the binding of TGF- $\beta$  to its receptor, thus, having an application as TGF- $\beta$  antagonists in fibroproliferative disorders such as diabetic nephropathy and human mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis.
- The subject-mater of Claim 1 is not novel as required by Article 33(2) PCT. Said claim relates to a fusion protein comprising a part of a TGF- $\beta$  receptor, said 3) fusion protein has the technical effect of inhibiting the binding of TGF- $\!\beta$  to TGF- $\!\beta$ receptor.

Document D1: OKADOME T ET AL: J BIOL CHEM, DEC 9 1994, 269 (49) P30753-6, discloses a fusion protein, called T $\beta$ R-2.1, comprising the extracellular domain of TGF- $\beta$  receptor II and the intracellular domain of TGF- $\beta$  receptor I. Said fusion protein is capable of binding the ligand TGF- $\beta$  (p. 30754) and thus, it is capable of inhibiting the binding of said ligand to its native receptor, the TGF- $\!\beta$ receptor. Hence the subject-matter of Claim 1 is not novel. Similarly, the subjectmatter of Claims 2, 5, 6, 8-13 is not novel either.

The subject-matter of Claim 3 relates to a fusion protein comprising the extracellular fragment of TGF- $\beta$  receptor type II and the constant region of an IgG. 4)

The document D2: WO 94 09815, published on 11.05.94 is not cited in the international search report.

Document D2 discloses a fusion of said extracellular fragment of TGF- $\beta$  receptor type II with human immunoglobulin (p. 20). Thus, the subject-matter of Claims 3 and 7 is not novel as required by Article 33(2) PCT.

The subject-matter of Claim 4 relates to a fusion protein comprising the extracellular fragment of TGF- $\beta$  receptor type II and the constant region of IgG 5) characterized by the amino acid sequence presented as SEQ ID 8 or 9.

Document D2 discloses the provision of a fusion protein comprising the extracellular fragment of the type II receptor for TGF- $\beta$  and IgG as an antagonist for TGF-β.

The problem to be solved appears to be the provision of said fusion protein characterized by a defined amino acid sequence.

Document D3: WO 94 17828, 18 August 1994, discloses a fusion protein comprising VCAM and the human IgG1 heavy chain constant region characterized by the amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO 9 (p. 35). Thus, methods for the provision of fusion proteins comprising the IgG constant region and defined sequence encoding the same are available to the skilled person should he be faced with the above mentioned technical problem. Hence, the subject-matter of Claim 4 does not involve an inventive step as required by Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject-matter of Claim 14 relates to a method for lowering the levels of TGF- $\beta$  in an individual in need thereof which comprises the use of an TGF-  $\!\beta$  antagonist 6) which is a fusion protein comprising the rabbit or human extracellular fragment of  $\mathsf{TGF-}\beta$  receptor type II and the constant domain of IgG characterized by the SEQ ID NOS 8 or 9.

Document D2 discloses the use of the extracellular fragment of TGF- $\beta$  receptor type II in treating medical conditions associated with TGF- $\beta$  excess (p. 7, 10). A disclosed mode of administration of said fragment is a fusion of said fragment with

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Inter

International application No. PCT/US98/07587

human immunoglobulin (p. 20).

The problem to be solved is the provision of a method of treating a medical condition associated with TGF- $\beta$  excess wherein the antagonist of TGF- $\beta$  is a fusion protein with defined amino acid sequence.

Document D3 discloses methods of construction fusion protein comprising IgG constant region as well as defined sequences thereof. Thus, the person skilled in the art seeking to solve the above mentioned technical problem will follow the teachings of document D2 in providing an antagonist of TGF-β in combination with teachings of document D3 of how to construct said antagonist. Thus, the subject-matter of Claims 14-21 do not involve an inventive step as required by Article 33(3) PCT.

7) For the assessment of the present Claims 14-21 as far as they are directed to a method of treatment of the human or animal body or to a diagnostic method practised on the human or animal body, no unified criteria exist in the PCT, on the question whether they are industrially applicable. The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims.

### VI. CERTAIN DOCUMENTS CITED

8) The following document is cited under Rule 70.10 PCT US 5 693 607 published 02.12.97 and filed on 22.12.94

# VIII. CERTAIN OBSERVATIONS ON THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION

- 9) The subject-matter of Claim 9 is not clear as required by Rule 6 PCT because the SEQ ID NOS 10 or 12 do not encode an isolated polynucleotide according to Claim 8.
- 10) Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-10, 13-17 do not meet the requirements of Rule 6 for clarity.

  The term TGF should appear in full name, at least once, with the abbreviated form next to it, in brackets.

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU		
PCT	То:		
NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION	United States Patent and Trademark Office		
(PCT Rule 61.2)	(Box PCT) Crystal Plaza 2 Washington, DC 20231 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE		
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 11 December 1998 (11.12.98)	in its capacity as elected Office		
International application No. PCT/US98/07587	Applicant's or agent's file reference A018PCT		
International filing date (day/month/year) 16 April 1998 (16.04.98)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 April 1997 (18.04.97)		
Applicant			
GOTWALS, Philip et al			
1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:  X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:  18 November 1998 (18.11.98)			
in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:			
2. The election X was was not was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority	date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under		
Rule 32.2(b).			

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

E. Huchon

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Form PCT/IB/331 (July 1992)

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

### TENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	_ PCT			
To: BIOGEN, Inc. Attn. WARREN, A. 14 Cambridge Center Cambridge, Ma 02142 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA REFERRED TO NOTED BY	NOT FICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION  (PCT Rule 44.1)			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 01/10/1998			
Applicant's or agent's file reference A018PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below			
International application No. PCT/US 98/ 07587	International filing date (day/month/year) 16/04/1998			
Applicant BIOGEN, INC. et al.				
1.				
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Author European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016				

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

#### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been fis filed, see below.

#### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

## The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
   "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
   "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
  "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
  "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
   "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

#### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

#### It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

#### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

### **TENT COOPERATION TREATY**

## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

BIOGEN, INC-IP DEPT. 8 1998 OCT REFERRED TO

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

	(PCT Article 18 and Hules 43 and 44)	NOTED BY
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification o (Form PCT/ISA/2	Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
018PCT	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
nternational application No.		18/04/1997
CT/US 98/07587	16/04/1998	10/0 (/1)
pplicant		
BIOGEN, INC. et al.		
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being t	en prepared by this International Searching Aut ansmitted to the International Bureau.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consist	s of a total of 4sheets.	
This international Search Report Consist.  X It is also accompanied by a co	py of each priorart document cited in this repor	t.
L&I **** ***		
1. X Certain claims were found u	nsearchable(see Box I).	
2. Unity of invention is lacking	(see Box II).	
		ee said sequence listing and the
3. X The international application of international search was carri-	ontains disclosure of a nucleotide and/or amined out on the basis of the sequence listing	to acid sequence nearly are are
X fil	ed with the international application.	
n tu	rnished by the applicant separately from the int	ernational application,
_	but not accompanied by a statement to matter going beyond the disclosure in the	the effect that it did not include ne international application as filed.
·	ranscribed by this Authority	
· .	•	•
		nt .
4. With regard to the title,	ne text is approved as submitted by the applica ne text has been established by this Authority to	read as follows:
[X] t	ne text has been established by the Noticenty	TANT REGION FUSION PROTEINS
TYPE II TGF-BETA REC	EPTOR / IMMUNOGLOBULIN CONS	TANT REGION TOSTON THE TELES
5. With regard to the abstract,		
[v] ·	he text is approved as submitted by the applica	nt .
	the text has been established, according to Rule Box III. The applicant may, within one month fro	38 2/h) by this Authority as it appears in
	Box III. The applicant may, within the including Search Report, submit comments to this Author	îty.
6. The figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is:	
	as suggested by the applicant.	None of the figures.
	because the applicant failed to suggest a figure	ı.
l H	because this figure better characterizes the inve	
		•

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

national application No.

PCT/US 98/07587

Box I Observati ns where certain claims were found uns archable (Continuation of item 1 of first sn et)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  Remark: Although claim 14-21 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invitepayment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

'onal Application No

PC:/US 98/07587 A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 6 C12N15/62 C07K A61K38/17 C07K14/71 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) C12N C07K A61K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages OKADOME T ET AL: "Distinct roles of the 1,2,5,6, X intracellular domains of transforming growth factor-beta type I and type II receptors in signal transduction." J BIOL CHEM, DEC 9 1994, 269 (49) P30753-6, XP002077575 UNITED STATES 3,4,7, Υ see the whole document 14-21 3,4,7, WO 94 17828 A (BIOGEN INC ; BURKLY LINDA C Υ 14-21 (US)) 18 August 1994 see claims 1-17; figure 8; example 5 Patent family members are listed in annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. other means document published prior to the international filing date but "&" document member of the same patent family later than the priority date claimed Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of theinternational search 01/10/1998 22 September 1998 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

2

Nauche, S

#### INTERMATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In' Ional Application No
PUI/US 98/07587

C (Continu	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	PC1/US 98/U/58/
Category °		Relevant to claim No.
<b>A</b> .	ANDERS RA ET AL: "Chimeric granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor/transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) receptors define a model system for investigating the role of homomeric and heteromeric receptors in TGF-beta signaling."  J BIOL CHEM, SEP 6 1996, 271 (36) P21758-66, XP002077576 UNITED STATES see the whole document	1-21
<b>A</b>	WO 96 26964 A (PROTEIN DESIGN LABS INC ;IOWA IMMUNOTHERAPY INVESTIGATO (US)) 6 September 1996 see the whole document	3,4,7, 14-21

#### INTERM TIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Info. on patent family members

Int onal Application No PC 1 / US 98/07587

* Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	í	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9417828	A	18-08-1994	AT AU AU CA DE DE EP ES JP	161730 T 687790 B 6237994 A 6984698 A 2155303 A 69407758 D 69407758 T 0682529 A 2114183 T 8508719 T	ě	15-01-1998 05-03-1998 29-08-1994 23-07-1998 18-08-1994 12-02-1998 27-08-1998 22-11-1995 16-05-1998 17-09-1996
 WO 9626964	A	06-09-1996	NZ AU CA EP	262615 A 		27-02-1996  18-09-1996 06-09-1996 17-12-1997



# **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification of (Form PCT/ISA/2	of Transmittal of International Search Report 120) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 98/07587	16/04/1998	18/04/1997
Applicant		
BIOGEN, INC. et al.		
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being to	en prepared by this International Searching Autransmitted to the International Bureau.	hority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consist    X   It is also accompanied by a co	s of a total of sheets.  py of each prior art document cited in this report	t.
1. χ Certain claims were found u	nsearchable(see Box I).	
2. Unity of invention is lacking	(see Box II).	,
international search was carried	ontains disclosure of a <b>nucleotide and/or amir</b> ed out on the basis of the sequence listing	no acid sequence listing and the
	ed with the international application.	ornational application
Į tu	rnished by the applicant separately from the inter- but not accompanied by a statement to to matter going beyond the disclosure in the	the effect that it did not include
П т	ranscribed by this Authority	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	e text is approved as submitted by the applicar	
1	e text has been established by this Authority to	
TYPE II TGF-BETA REC	EPTOR / IMMUNOGLOBULIN CONS	TANT REGION FUSION PROTEINS
5. With regard to the abstract,		
	ne text is approved as submitted by the applican ne text has been established, according to Rule	
	he text has been established, according to Adde lox III. The applicant may, within one month from learch Report, submit comments to this Authori	m the date of mailing of this international
6. The figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be pu	ublished with the abstract is:	
	s suggested by the applicant.	None of the figures.
	ecause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	•
	ecause this figure better characterizes the inve	ntion.
	•	



ternational application No.

PCT/US 98/07587

Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Remark: Although claim 14-21 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
з. [	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This In	ternational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. [	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Rem	ark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 C12N15/62 C07K14/71 A61K38/17

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 6 C12N C07K A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

Category °	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	OKADOME T ET AL: "Distinct roles of the intracellular domains of transforming growth factor-beta type I and type II receptors in signal transduction."  J BIOL CHEM, DEC 9 1994, 269 (49) P30753-6, XPO02077575	1,2,5,6, 8-13
Y	UNITED STATES see the whole document	3,4,7, 14-21
Y	WO 94 17828 A (BIOGEN INC ;BURKLY LINDA C (US)) 18 August 1994 see claims 1-17; figure 8; example 5	3,4,7,

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.  "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of theinternational search	Date of mailing of the international search report
22 September 1998	01/10/1998
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Nauche, S

2



ational Application No PCT/US 98/07587

		PC1/US 98	0/0/56/	
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		Delevent to state the	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.	
A	ANDERS RA ET AL: "Chimeric granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor/transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) receptors define a model system for investigating the role of homomeric and heteromeric receptors in TGF-beta signaling."  J BIOL CHEM, SEP 6 1996, 271 (36) P21758-66, XP002077576 UNITED STATES see the whole document		1-21	
A	WO 96 26964 A (PROTEIN DESIGN LABS INC; IOWA IMMUNOTHERAPY INVESTIGATO (US)) 6 September 1996 see the whole document		3,4,7, 14-21	
		•		
	,			
			:	

### INTERESTIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

In ational Application No
PCT/US 98/07587

Patent document cited in search repor	t	Publication date	1	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9417828	Α	18-08-1994	AT	161730 T	15-01-1998
			AU	687790 B	05-03-1998
			AU	6237994 A	29-08-1994
			AU	6984698 A	23-07-1998
			CA	2155303 A	18-08-1994
			DE	69407758 D	12-02-1998
			DE	69407758 T	27-08-1998
			EP	0682529 A	22-11-1995
			ES	2114183 T	16-05-1998
			JР	8508719 T	17-09-1996
			NZ	262615 A	27-02-1996
WO 9626964	A	06-09-1996	 AU	5090496 A	18-09-1996
			CA	2212750 A	06-09-1996
			EP	0812333 A	17-12-1997

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 98/07587

Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority. namely:  Remark: Although claim 14-21 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: .
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invitepayment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.